PTO/SB/62 (08-99)

Approved for use (through 8/20/00. OMB 0651-0036

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE A	SSIGNEE	Docket Number (optional)		
I hereby declare that:		51919-1041		
My residence and mailing address and citizenship are stated	d below next to m	/ POING		
TO GENERAL TO COLUMN TO THE TONOWING OCCUPANT FOR	'_!	y name.		
C-10 the title of the position with said assigned is Conord C-	I	<u>{ 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1</u>		
The sittle to the patent identified below is vested in said	i assignee			
radite of Lateutee(2):				
Richard Edward Shelton, Ronald Evan Norden-Pau	ut, Audree Anne T	hurman and Stanley C. Downn		
Patent Number				
Date of Patent Issued 5,208,907		zned		
		May 4, 1993		
Title of Invention				
Method for Generating a Display L				
I believe said patentee(s) to be the original, first and sole/join described and claimed in said patent, for which a reissue patent.	ent is soundt on th	subject matter which is		
Method for Generating a Display Utilizing Objects in an Object	ot List.	- CHARGE		
the specification of which	<u> </u>			
is attached hereto.				
☑ was filed on 10/09/2001 as reissue application pumber	09/974,515			
and was amended on(if applicable)				
I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-ide by any amendment referred to above.	entified specification	on, including the claims, as amended		
I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is mater	lal to patentability	as defined in 37 CFR 1,56.		
I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperated below. (Check all boxes that apply.)	ative or invalid, for	the reasons described		
by reason of a defective specification or drawing.				
by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent.				
by reason of other errors.	g., (0 0)Q	muture paterr.		
At least one error upon which reissue is based is described as	follower			
See attached Page 4 of 4 "State	ement of Inoperation	Veness ^u		
[Attach additional she	ets, if needed.1			
All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any applicant.	deceptive intention	on on the part of the		

PTO/SB/52 (08-99)

Approved for use through 8/30/00. OMB 0851-0033

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number REISSUE APPLICATION DECLARATION BY THE ASSIGNEE Docket Number (optional) 51919-1041 I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the United States Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Name(s) Registration Number Scott A Horstemeyer 34.183 Correspondence Address: Direct all communications about the application to: 24504 ⊠Customer Number Place Customer Type Customer Number Here Number Bar Code Label Here OR ⊠ Firm or Individual Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP Name Address 100 Galleria Pkwy, NW Address Sulte 1750 City Atlanta State Georgia Zip 30339 Country USA Telephone 770-933-9500 Fax 770-951-0933 I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine and imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application, any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this declaration is directed. Full name of person signing (given name, family name) Brian Copple, General Counsel for Eclipsys Corporation Signature Date Address of Assignee 1750 Clint Moore Road, Boca Raton, FL 33487 Patentee Citizenship Richard Edward Shelfon **USA** Residence/Malling Address 2710 W. Obispo Círcle, Mesa, AZ Patentee Citizenship Ronald Evan Norden-Paul USA Residence/Mailing Address 2050 S. Longmore, Mesa, AZ

ADDITIONAL PATENTEE NAMES AND ADDRESSES

Patentee Audree Anne Ti	lurman	Citizenship	USA	
Resider ce/Mailing Address	2222 W	Krystal Way, Phoenix, AZ		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Patentee Stanley Carl P		Citizenship	USA	
Residence/Mailing Address	1242 E	. Gray Circle, Mesa, AZ	30/1	

STATEMENT OF INOPERATIVENESS

Applicant believes U.S. Patent No. 5,208,907 to be partly inoperative based upon unclear language in some of the claims of the patent, which became apparent during a court proceeding of Scheduling.com. Inc. v. Eclipsys Corporation and Emtek Health Care Systems, Inc., Civil Action No. Civ00-496-TUC-WDB, in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona to enforce the patent. At least one error being relied upon as the basis for the reissue is as follows.

Claim 1, step (c), reads as follows:

"using said processing means, assigning a plurality of tiles to each of said objects in said object list, at least one of said tiles being assigned to a group of said objects, and at least a second of said tiles being assigned to an individual one of said objects"

An argument is being made by a party to the aforementioned litigation that claim 1 is invalid under 35 U.S.C Section 112, because the specification of U.S. Patent No. 5,208,907 does not support assigning a plurality of tiles to a single object, which is allegedly recited in step (c). However, as is clear from the specification of U.S. Patent No. 5,208,907 and the file history, this is an untended interpretation of this claim language. The language of the last two clauses of this step (c), i.e., "at least one of said tiles ... objects," was added in an amendment to overcome prior art and to further define the first clause of this step (c), i.e., "using said processing means, assigning a plurality of tiles to said objects in said object list." Then, in a later amendment by applicants, the underlined language "to each of said objects" was restored, which led to this potential clarity problem and this unintended interpretation of this claim.